Types and Levels of Team (Network) Analysis

Dr. Katy Börner

Cyberinfrastructure for Network Science Center, Director Information Visualization Laboratory, Director School of Library and Information Science Indiana University, Bloomington, IN katv@indiana.edu

Network Perspectives of Teams Panel April 22, 2010



First Annual International SCIENCE OF TEAM SCIENCE CONFERENCE LANBERT FAMILY COMMUNICATION CONFERENCE in collaboration with Research Team Support (RTS) within the Northwestern (University Clinical and Translational Sciences (NUCATS) Institutes on the Science of Team Science THURSDAY-SATURDAY, APRIL 22-24, 2010 Wyndham Chicago





Type of Analysis vs. Level of Analysis

	Micro/Individual	Meso/Local	Macro/Global
	(1-100 records)	(101–10,000 records)	(10,000 < records)
Statistical Analysis/Profiling	Individual person and their expertise profiles	Larger labs, centers, universities, research domains, or states	All of NSF, all of USA, all of science.
Temporal Analysis	Funding portfolio of one individual	Mapping topic bursts	113 Years of Physics
(When)		in 20-years of PNAS	Research
Geospatial Analysis (Where)	Career trajectory of one individual	Mapping a states intellectual landscape	PNAS Publications
Topical Analysis	Base knowledge from which one grant draws.	Knowledge flows in	VxOrd/Topic maps of
(What)		Chemistry research	NIH funding
Network Analysis (With Whom?)	NSF Co-PI network of one individual	Co-author network	NSF's core competency



Type of Analysis vs. Level of Analysis

	Micro/Individual	Meso/Local	Macro/Global
	(1-100 records)	(101–10,000 records)	(10,000 < records)
Statistical Analysis/Profiling	Individual person and their expertise profiles	Larger labs, centers, universities, research domains, or states	All of NSF, all of USA, all of science.
Temporal Analysis	Funding portfolio of one individual	Mapping topic bursts	113 Years of Physics
(When)		in 20-years of PNAS	Research
Geospatial Analysis (Where)	Career trajectory of one individual	Mapping a states intellectual landscape	PNAS Publications
Topical Analysis	Base knowledge from which one grant draws.	Knowledge flows in	VxOrd/Topic maps of
(What)		Chemistry research	NIH funding
Network Analysis (With Whom?)	NSF Co-PI network of one individual	Co-author network	NSF's core competency



Type of Analysis vs. Level of Analysis

	Micro/Individual (1-100 records)	Meso/Local (101–10,000 records)	Macro/Global (10,000 < records)
Statistical Analysis/Profiling	Individual person and their expertise profiles	Larger labs, centers, universities, research domains or states	All of NS all of scie
Temporal Analysis (When)	Funding portfolio of one individual	pic bursts	113 Years of P Research
Geospatial Analysis (Where)	Career trajectory of on	intellectual la	PNAS
Topical Analysis (What)		f research	VxOrd/Topic NIH funding
Network Analysis (With Whom?)	NSI work of		NIH's cy

3



Type of Analysis vs. Level of Analysis

	Micro/Individual	Meso/Local	Macro/Global
	(1-100 records)	(101–10,000 records)	(10,000 < records)
Statistical Analysis/Profiling	Individual person and their expertise profiles	Larger labs, centers, universities, research domains, or states	All of NSF, all of USA, all of science.
Temporal Analysis	Funding portfolio of one individual	Mapping topic bursts	113 Years of Physics
(When)		in 20-years of PNAS	Research
Geospatial Analysis (Where)	Career trajectory of one individual	Mapping a states intellectual landscape	PNAS Publications
Topical Analysis	Base knowledge from which one grant draws.	Knowledge flows in	VxOrd/Topic maps of
(What)		Chemistry research	NIH funding
Network Analysis (With Whom?)	NSF Co-PI network of one individual	Co-author network	NSF's core competency



Mapping Indiana's Intellectual Space

Identify

- Pockets of innovation
- > Pathways from ideas to products
- > Interplay of industry and academia



Mapping the Evolution of Co-Authorship Networks

Ke, Visvanath & Börner, (2004) Won 1st price at the IEEE InfoVis Contest.



Studying the Emerging Global Brain: Analyzing and Visualizing the Impact of Co-Authorship Teams

Börner, Dall'Asta, Ke & Vespignani (2005) Complexity, 10(4):58-67.

Research question:

• Is science driven by prolific single experts or by high-impact co-authorship teams?

Contributions:

- New approach to allocate citational credit.
- Novel weighted graph representation.
- Visualization of the growth of weighted co-author network.
- Centrality measures to identify author impact.
- Global statistical analysis of paper production and citations in correlation with co-authorship team size over time.
- Local, author-centered entropy measure.



R01 & TTURC Project Information

Mapping Transdisciplinary Tobacco Use Research Centers Publications

Compare R01 investigator based funding with TTURC Center awards in terms of number of publications and evolving co-author networks.

Zoss & Börner, forthcoming.





Representing, Analyzing, and Visualizing Scholarly Data in Support of

Research Management (http://ivl.slis.indiana.edu)

Thomas Neirynck and Katy Börner (2007)





Type of Analysis vs. Level of Analysis

	Micro/Individual	Meso/Local	Macro/Global
	(1-100 records)	(101–10,000 records)	(10,000 < records)
Statistical Analysis/Profiling	Individual person and their expertise profiles	Larger labs, centers, universities, research domains, or states	All of NSF, all of USA, all of science.
Temporal Analysis	Funding portfolio of one individual	Mapping topic bursts	113 Years of Physics
(When)		in 20-years of PNAS	Research
Geospatial Analysis (Where)	Career trajectory of one individual	Mapping a states intellectual landscape	PNAS Publications
Topical Analysis	Base knowledge from which one grant draws.	Knowledge flows in	VxOrd/Topic maps of
(What)		Chemistry research	NIH funding
Network Analysis (With Whom?)	NSF Co-PI network of one individual	Co-author network	NSF's core competency

Maps of Science

A visualization of 7.2 million scholarly document

Data:

WoS and Scopus for 2001–2005, 7.2 million papers, more than 16,000 separate journals, proceedings, and series

Similarity Metric:

Combination of bibliographic coupling and keyword vectors

Number of Disciplines:

554 journal clusters further aggregated into 13 main scientific disciplines that are labeled and color coded in a metaphorical way, e.g., Medicine is blood red and Earth Sciences are brown as soil.

Forecasting Large Trends in Science



Richard Klavans and Kevin Boyack. 2007. Maps of Science: Forecasting 13

SCIENCE	THE		3.2	
Overview Detail	Disciplinary Maps	Competency Maps	Paradigm Maps	Posters
	Other Engineering	Biology Infectious Diseases	Social Sciences	
View all National Institute of				
View all National Institute of General Med Science National Institute of Alleroy & Inf Disease			12	
View all National Institute of General Med Science National Institute of Allergy & Inf Disease Nat. Cancer Institute	BO			
View all National Institute of General Med Science National Institute of Allergy & Inf Disease Nat. Cancer Institute Nat. Heart, Lung & Blood Institute	B			
View all National Institute of General Med Science National Institute of Allergy & Inf Disease Nat. Cancer Institute Nat. Heart, Lung & Blood Institute Nat. Inst Diabetes, Dig & kidney Disease				



Interactive Science Map of NIH Funding

Herr II, Bruce W., Talley, Edmund M, Burns, Gully APC, Newman, David & La Rowe, Gavin. (2009).



http://scimaps.org/maps/nih/2007

Interactive Maps of Science – NIH Funding Google maps with charts and tables



http://cns-nd3.slis.indiana.edu/mapjobs/geo



Mapping Science Exhibit – 10 Iterations in 10 years

http://scimaps.org/



RER					
****			Anna an Parlintation Parlintati	n Falls Br	
Science	Maps f	or Scien	ce Poli	cy Make	rs (2009
	4	19.0		00	
A PR DOCTOR OF					

Science Maps for Economic Decision Makers (2008)

Science Maps for Scholars (2010) Science Maps as Visual Interfaces to Digital Libraries (2011) Science Maps for Kids (2012) Science Forecasts (2013) How to Lie with Science Maps (2014)

Exhibit has been shown in 72 venues on four continents. Currently at - NSF, 10th Floor, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA

- Marston Science Library, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
- Center of Advanced European Studies and Research, Bonn, Germany





ORDER

Illuminated Diagram Display

W. Bradford Paley, Kevin W. Boyack, Richard Kalvans, and Katy Börner (2007) Mapping, Illuminating, and Interacting with Science. SIGGRAPH 2007.

Questions:

- Who is doing research on what topic and where?
- What is the 'footprint' of interdisciplinary research fields?
- What impact have scientists?

Contributions:

• Interactive, high resolution interface to access and make sense of data about scholarly activity.







Large-scale, high resolution prints illuminated via projector or screen.

Interactive touch panel.



TOPIC MAP: HOW SCIENTIFIC PARADIGMS RELATE





You may run your finger over each of these maps to control the lighting on the other: touching a place on the world map will light up topics studied in that place; touching a paradigm on the topic map will light up the places that study that topic.

Nanotechnology

This overlay shows the distribution of nanotechnology within the paradigms of science. The majority of current work in nanotechnology takes places in physics, chemistry, and materials science, at the upper right portion of the map. However, an increasing amount of nanotechnology is being applied in the biological and medical sciences, at the lower right.

Sweep through all 776 scientific paradigms	Science on the tiny scale of molecules	CRICK Co-discovered DNA's double helix	AIDERT EINSTEIN Revitalized physics with Relativity theories	Michael E. FISHER Models critical phase transitions of matter	Susan I. FISKE Connects perception and stereotypes
Sustainability	Biology & Chemistry	Joshua LEDERBERG	Derek J. de Solla PRICE	Richard N. ZARE	About this display
The science behind our long-term hopes	The interface between these two vital fields	Pioneer in bacterial genetic mechanisms	Known as the "Father of Scientometrics"	Uses laser chemistry in molecular dynamics	People & organizations that helped create it



Debut of 5th Iteration of Mapping Science Exhibit at MEDIA X was on May 18, 2009 at Wallenberg Hall, Stanford University, <u>http://mediax.stanford.edu, http://scaleindependentthought.typepad.com/photos/scimaps</u>



TOLI VE GARDEN



CI for a Science of Science Studies

ST

Scholarly Database: 23 million scholarly records http://sdb.slis.indiana.edu



VIVO Research Networking http://vivoweb.org



Information Visualization Cyberinfrastructure http://iv.slis.indiana.edu



Network Workbench Tool + Community Wiki http://nwb.slis.indiana.edu



Sci² Tool and Science of Science CI Portal <u>http://sci.slis.indiana.edu</u>



Epidemics Cyberinfrastructure <u>http://epic.slis.indiana.edu/</u>





27

Computational Scientometrics References

Börner, Katy, Chen, Chaomei, and Boyack, Kevin. (2003). Visualizing Knowledge Domains. In Blaise Cronin (Ed.), *ARIST*, Medford, NJ: Information Today, Inc./American Society for Information Science and Technology, Volume 37, Chapter 5, pp. 179-255. http://ivl.slis.indiana.edu/km/pub/2003-borner-arist.pdf

Shiffrin, Richard M. and Börner, Katy (Eds.) (2004). **Mapping Knowledge Domains**. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101(Suppl_1). http://www.pnas.org/content/vol101/suppl_1/

Börner, Katy, Sanyal, Soma and Vespignani, Alessandro (2007). **Network Science.** In Blaise Cronin (Ed.), *ARIST*, Information Today, Inc./American Society for Information Science and Technology, Medford, NJ, Volume 41, Chapter 12, pp. 537-607. http://ivl.slis.indiana.edu/km/pub/2007-borner-arist.pdf

Börner, Katy (2010) Atlas of Science. MIT Press. http://scimaps.org/atlas





All papers, maps, cyberinfrastructures, talks, press are linked from <u>http://cns.slis.indiana.edu</u>